

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,087	04/15/2002		Darrel J. Van Buer	1044-404-01	8837
75	90	08/17/2006		EXAMINER	
Richard E. Bal			SMITHERS, MATTHEW		
X-Labs Holdings, LLC 9701 Wilshire Blvd.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Beverly Hills, CA 90212				2137	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ameliantian Na	A U 4/- \				
		Application No.					
	Office Action Comments	10/040,087	VAN BUER, DARREL J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew B. Smithers	2137				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this co  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allowar  closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
5) ☐ 6) ☑ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9) ☐	Claim(s) 1-110 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-110 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the drawing of the correct of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	=D 1 121/d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/040,087

Art Unit: 2137

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Okada (US 2003/0108195) is not prior art. Applicant states in the response that applicant's declaration was executed by the inventor on October 1, 2001 and the applicant's invention occurred at least three months prior to the filing of the Okada application. In response to applicant's remarks, he examiner would like to point out the following observations. First, it appears the applicant is trying to disqualify the 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) rejection of Okada by an unofficial 37 CFR 1.131 affidavit. If this is applicant's intentions, then applicant needs to submit a proper 37 CFR 1.131 affidavit showing conception and due diligence up to the actual filing date of the application (see 37 CFR 1.131 and MPEP 715.07). Second, the declaration submitted on June 13, 2006 has an execution/signature date that does not coincide with the declaration submitted on January 4, 2002. Examiner respectfully requests applicant to explain the discrepancy between the declarations in the next response.

Applicant further argues Okada does not teach using a staged pipeline logic circuit (such as multiplication and division circuits) and (key expansion circuits) as claimed by applicant. Examiner respectfully disagrees and asserts Okada does teach performing an encryption/decryption process in stages using multiplication, division and key expansion circuits (see paragraphs [0104], [0111] thru [0114], [0118], [0128] thru

Application/Control Number: 10/040,087

Art Unit: 2137

[[0139] and Table 10). In the cited sections, Okada shows the input data is manipulated by the multiplication/division circuits and the key expansion circuits at different stages throughout the encryption/decryption process. For this reason, the examiner maintains the rejections given in the office action dated December 13, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

See the 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) rejection given in the office action dated December 13, 2005.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew B. Smithers whose telephone number is (571) 272-3876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30) EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,087

Art Unit: 2137

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel L. Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew B Smithers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2137

Page 4